Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/664,854	MATSUOKA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	MON CHERI S. DAVENPORT	2616
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) MON CHERI S. DAVENPORT.	(3) <u>Nikolaus Schibli</u> .	
(2) <u>Seema Rao</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview:		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representative	· •
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed: 23-25.		
Identification of prior art discussed:		:
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. (g)⊠ was not reached. h)☐ N	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed proposed am consider</u> . He are a merconsider of the amendal of the consider of the consideration of the co	endments and claim language dments which the examiner ag copy of the amendments that v	reed would render the claims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.		
	•	•
· (·	
	Q., .	S Ras
	/Mon Cheri S Davenport/ Examiner, Art Unit 2616	S & CW 6115 (08
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	Examiner's signature, if requ	ired